

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,010	•	09/11/2003	Robin F. Righettini	IR-3256(IA)DIV	8601	
193	7590	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER		
LORD C	ORPORA	ΓΙΟΝ	SELLERS, ROBERT E			
	& LEGAL D DRIVE	SERVICES	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CARY, N	NC 27512		1712			
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/20/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	حبا			
Office Action Summary		10/662,01	0	RIGHETTINI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert Sel		1712				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	•			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA consists of time may be available under the provisions of 37 countries of 60 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor under the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ation. ys, a reply within the statu ry period will apply and wil by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communica ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	ition.			
Status								
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 11 Sentember 2	003					
	•	☐ This action is no						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for			osecution as to the merits	sis			
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 8-17 is/are pending in the appl	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 11-17 is	s/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	\square objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:			n)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc							
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· -		ed in this National Stage				
* 1	application from the International	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		od				
•	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certif	ied copies not receiv	eu.				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/11 & 11/18/2003</u> .)/9B/U8)	6) Other:	асел Аррисацоп (СТО-192)				

Art Unit: 1712

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 8 and 10, drawn to a two-part adhesive comprising a first package containing ethylenic unsaturated methacrylate ester(s), a toughener and an adhesion promoter combined with a second package containing a bonding activator, classified in class 524, subclass 548.

- II. Claims 9 and 11-17, drawn to a two-part adhesive comprising an A-side composed of an olefinic monomer, a primary toughener, an optional auxiliary toughener, an optional phosphorus olefinic groups-containing adhesion promoter and a reducing agent together with a B-side prepared from a bonding activator of an oxidizing agent and an epoxy resin, classified in class 525, subclass 65.
- 1. Claims 12-17 refer to primary and auxiliary tougheners and reducing agents and are dependent upon independent claim 8 providing no antecedent basis for such components. Independent claim 11 denotes the components. Therefore, claims 12-17 have been included in Group II wherein claim 11 possesses the proper antecedent basis for the components.
- 2. The inventions are distinct from each other because the additional presence of the auxiliary toughener, reducing agent and epoxy resin in the adhesive of Group II comprises a materially different formulation from that of Group I exhibiting diverse physical properties.

Art Unit: 1712

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Contingent upon the election of Group I:

First package:

- a) The ethylenic unsaturated methacrylic esters such as those of claim 10.
 - b) The tougheners.
 - c) The adhesion promoters.

Second package:

d) The bonding activators.

Contingent upon the election of <u>Group II</u>:

A-Side:

- e) The olefinic monomers.
- f) The primary tougheners.
- g) The presence or absence of the auxiliary toughener, wherein if its presence is elected, a particular species is identified.
- h) The presence or absence of the phosphorus olefinic groups-containing adhesion promoters, wherein if its presence is elected, a particular species is identified.

Art Unit: 1712

B-Side:

i) The bonding activators containing an oxidizing agent.

j) The epoxy resins.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 8-17 are generic.

A reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1712

3. During a telephone conversation with Miles B. Dearth on May 9, 2005, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I and the following species:

First package:

- a) The ethylenic unsaturated methacrylic ester: 3,3,5-trimethylcyclohexyl methacrylate.
- b) The toughener: The reaction product of glycidyl methacrylate and carboxy-terminated butadiene-acrylonitrile copolymer.
 - c) The adhesion promoter: 2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate phosphate.

Second package:

d) The bonding activator: benzoyl peroxide.

Claims 8 and 10 comprise the elected invention and species. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

The specification on page 1 should be amended to include the patent number for parent application no. 10/147,648 of 6,660,805. The term "glycidyl" is misspelled on page 8, paragraph [0019], line 2.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 4. The ethylenic unsaturated methacrylic esters 1) and 2) are improperly denoted by the terminology "selected from the group" in claim 10, line 4 in the absence of the Markush language "selected from the group consisting of."
- 5. The phrase "branched C_4 - C_{10} branched" in claim 10, line 7 is unclear and should be modified to "branched C_4 - C_{10} " in accordance with page 6, paragraph [0014], lines 8-9 of the specification.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,010

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Charnock.

6. Charnock (col. 1, lines 61-66) describes a two-part adhesive. The first part comprises from 10-90% by weight (col. 3, lines 8-13) of an acrylate ester monomer such as the mostly preferred isobornyl methacrylate (col. 2, line 65), from about 5-80% by weight (col. 5, lines 22-25) of an isoprene rubber, an adhesion promoter (col. 5, lines 44-55) and a free radical initiator. The second part contains an activator (col. 6, lines 31-47). The claimed bonding activator in the second package embraces the activator in the second part of the reference. The claimed first package does not preclude the free radical initiator in the first part of Charnock.

Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent No. 2001-261723.

7. The CAPLUS abstract shows a two-component adhesive. Component 2 comprises 16.2% by weight of isobornyl methacrylate, other methacrylate monomers, 10.0% by weight of acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber (NBR), 7.0% by weight of methylmethacrylate-butadiene-acrylonitrile-styrene rubber (MBAS) and the elected species of phosphoxyethyl acid phosphate. Component 1 contains the same monomers and rubbers with cumene hydroperoxide (deemed to be suitable species of bonding activator in the specification on page 11, paragraph [0027], line 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,010

Art Unit: 1712

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rhigettini et al. Patent No. 5,932,638 or Dawdy Patent No. 4,769,419 or Abbey et al. Patent No. 5,641,834 or Charnock Patent No. 4,451,615.

- 8. Righettini et al. (col. 9, lines 15-45) sets forth a two-package adhesive.

 The first package comprises from about 10-90 weight percent of a free radical polymerizable monomer such as butyl methacrylate (col. 4, lines 10-11), from about 10-80 weight percent of a polymeric material such as a liquid olefinic-terminated elastomer (col. 4, line 61 to col. 5, line 8) and an olefinic groups-containing phosphorus compound exemplified by the hydroxyethylmethacrylate phosphate (col. 10, Example 1 table). The second package contains bonding activator of an oxidizing agent exemplified by benzoyl peroxide (col. 10, line 56).
- 9. Dawdy (col. 6, lines 11-52) reports a two-package adhesive. The first package is composed of from about 10-90% by weight of an olefinic monomer such as butyl methacrylate, from about 10-80% by weight of an olefinic-terminated liquid elastomer and an olefinic groups-containing phosphorus compound such as the elected species of 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphate (col. 9, line 42). The second package contains a bonding accelerator of an oxidizing agent such as benzoyl peroxide (col. 10, line 24).
- 10. Abbey et al. (col. 7, line 58 to col. 8, line 33) espouses a two-package adhesive. The first package comprises from 10-90 weight percent of an olefinic monomer such as butyl methacrylate (col. 8, lines 38-39), from 10-80 weight percent of a polymer derived from the reaction of a hydroxyl-terminated polyalkadiene and anhydride to produce a carboxylic acid-terminated polyalkadiene intermediate which is reacted with an olefinic

Art Unit: 1712

monoepoxide to yield a (meth)acrylate-terminated polyalkadiene whose hydroxyl groups are reacted with a monoisocyanate (col. 4, lines 43-61) and an olefinic groups-containing phosphorus compound such as 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphate (col. 9, lines 64-65). The second package contains a bonding activator of an oxidizing agent such as benzoyl peroxide (col. 10, line 48).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Doe et al. Patent No. 6,730,411.

11. Doe et al. (col. 3, lines 50-65) discloses a two-part adhesive composed of an adhesive part and an activator part. The adhesive part comprises preferably from about 30-75 weight percent (col. 4, lines 11-15) of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer such as butyl methacrylate or 2-ethylhexyl methacrylate (col. 4, lines 38-39, within claimed linear C₄-C₁₀ alkyl methacrylates of group 2), from about 3-35 weight percent (col. 5, lines 32-35) of an elastomeric toughener (col. 4, lines 56-57), an adhesion promoter such as the elected species of methacryloxyethyl acid phosphate which is particularly preferred (col. 5, lines 58-60). The activator part contains the particularly preferred benzoyl peroxide which is the elected species of bonding activator (col. 8, lines 35-36).

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent No. 220,555; Japanese Patent No. 11-1663, Skoultchi et al. Patent No. 5,106,928 and Yamamoto et al. Patent No. 4,515,917.

- 12. The European patent (page 5, lines 20-25; page 7, lines 17-19 and page 9, lines 11-13) discloses a two-package adhesive. The first package comprises an olefinically unsaturated monomer in exemplified amounts within the claimed limits (pages 22-23, Examples I-IV) such as butyl methacrylate (page 12, line 31), up to 30% by weight (page 9, lines 13-16) of a polymeric material including (2) butadiene-based elastomers (page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 6), and a second package containing the same components and a peroxygen compound (page 9, lines 11-13). Phosphorus-containing compounds to improve adhesion (page 16, lines 29-30) such as
- 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphate (page 19, line 22) can be added.
- 13. The CAPLUS abstract of the Japanese patent shows a two-component adhesive wherein one component comprises 25% by weight of ethylhexyl methacrylate II (butyl methacrylates and isobornyl methacrylate are listed in the translation on page 3, paragraph [0014], lines 3 and 6) and 50% by weight of Nisso PB-TE 2000 which is a polybutadiene flexibilizer (page 4, paragraph [0021], line 5). The other component contains the same monomers and flexibilizer along with cumene hydroperoxide.

Art Unit: 1712

Adhesion promoters such as methacyrloyloxyethyl phosphate (page 4, paragraph [0020], lines 2 and 6) are suitable.

- 14. Skoultchi et al. (col. 8, Example II) shows a two-part adhesive composed of Part I of 70% by weight of isobornyl methacrylate and 30% by weight of a styrene-butadiene block copolymer and Part II of the same components and cumene hydroperoxide.

 Adhesion promoters can be incorporated (col. 7, line 4).
- 15. Yamamoto et al. (col. 2, lines 6-14) sets forth a two-component adhesive composed of a main component and an activating component. The main component is obtained from a methacrylic monomer such as the exemplified butyl methacrylate or 2-ethylhexyl methacrylate (col. 5, line 24 and col. 6, lines 32-33), a chlorosulfonated polyethylene (a suitable species of toughener according to page 7, paragraph 16, the last line) in relative proportions of from 33.3-50% by weight of the monomer and from 50-66.7% by weight of the chlorosulfonated polyethylene (col. 3, lines 12-15), an organic peroxide and an epoxy resin. The activating component includes cobalt naphthenate which is named on page 11, lines 4-5). The prior art activating component is within the realm of the claimed second package containing a bonding activator. The claimed first package does not preclude the presence of the organic peroxide in the main component of the patent.

Page 12 Application/Control Number: 10/662,010

Art Unit: 1712

16. The claimed adhesion promoter in the first package with the ethylenic

unsaturated methacrylic ester and toughener is not recited, although the European and

Japanese patents and Skoultchi et al. acknowledge the use of adhesion promoters.

It would have been obvious to employ the adhesion promoters of the European and

Japanese patents in the part with the monomer and elastomer in order to enhance the

adhesion.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

17. Kindt-Larsen et al. Patent No. 4,910,259 (col. 4, lines 5-9) is directed to a bone

cement prepared from three different (meth)acrylates including the elected species of

3,3,5-trimethylcyclohexyl methacrylate (col. 4, line 43) combined with a a powder

component of a (meth)acrylate polymer and a polymerization initiator such as benzoyl

peroxide.

18. McCarthy Patent No. 3,925,330 (col. 1, lines 43-51) teaches the elected species

of toughener derived from the reaction of a carboxyl-terminated liquid diene polymer

reacted with an epoxy group-containing vinylidene compound such as glycidyl

methacrylate (col. 4, lines 18-19). The liquid vinyl-terminated polymer is mixed with a

vinyl monomer and free radical catalyst such as benzoyl peroxide (col. 7, line 16) and is

useful in adhesives (col. 1, lines 12-14).

(571) 272-1093 (Fax no. (703) 872-9306) Monday to Friday, 9:30 to 6:00

5/13/2005

ROBERT E.L. SELLERS

PRIMARY EXAMINER